Los Angeles Times

June 9, 2008 Editorial

"The United States cannot lead if it is hated. If Americans still aspire to remake the world as a more democratic, more prosperous place with fewer terrorists and nuclear weapons states, if we seek global cooperation on issues ranging from counter-proliferation to climate change, we must set about earning back the goodwill of nations. The tragic global hunger crisis, which has swelled the ranks of the world's most miserable, provides the U.S. with a golden opportunity to do good while rebuilding its shattered global leadership credentials. We should seize the chance to win friends and confound our enemies by showing the world that the United States is the sole superpower when it comes to generosity.

Polling by the nonprofit group Terror Free Tomorrow indicates that direct humanitarian aid improves the perception of the United States even among Pakistani Muslims who express support for Al Qaeda. Skeptics say that the public opinion bounce from humanitarian aid is short-lived. But the data show the reverse: Nearly three years after the massive tsunami relief effort, almost 60% of Indonesians said the aid had made them favorable toward the U.S."

THE NEW REPUBLIC

June 11, 2008

"In Saudi Arabia, only 10 percent now have a favorable view of Al Qaeda, according to a December poll by Terror Free Tomorrow, a Washington-based think tank. Following a wave of suicide attacks in Pakistan in the past year, Terror Free Tomorrow also found that support for suicide operations amongst Pakistanis has dropped to 9 percent (it was 33 percent five years ago), while favorable views of bin Laden in the North West Frontier Province of Pakistan, around where he is believed to be hiding, have plummeted to 4 percent from 70 percent since August 2007."

Herald Tribune

June 15, 2008

"Even people who say they dislike America want to have strong bilateral relations with the U.S, and attitudes are fluid. A highly regarded study last year by Terror Free Tomorrow, for example, found that 40 percent of respondents in Saudi Arabia had a positive view of the United States, compared with just 11 percent 18 months earlier."

The Washington post Newsweek

May 27, 2008

Terror Free Tomorrow's most recent Pakistan, Fareed Zakaria writes that: "The more people are exposed to the jihadists' tactics and world view, the less Pakistan's North-West support them. In Province, where Al Qaeda has bases, support for Osama bin Laden plummeted from 70 percent in August 2007 to 4 percent in January 2008.... Its strategic implications are critically because historical evidence suggests terrorist campaigns that lose public support will sooner or later be abandoned or defeated." Zakaria also cites well-researched, independent analysis of the data relating to terrorism, released last week by Canada's Simon Fraser University," which also relies TFT's data for on conclusions.



COMMENT & ANALYSIS

June 10, 2008

"Evidence has been mounting that the organisation responsible for the September 11 attacks has suffered some serious reversals. Al-Qaeda tactics do appear to have contributed to a fall in popular support in places even beyond Iraq. According to a public opinion survey carried out in December by Terror Free Tomorrow, a not-for-profit group seeking to establish why people support or oppose extremism, fewer than one in 10 Saudis had a favourable opinion of al-Qaeda and 88 per cent approved of the Saudi military and police pursuing al-Qaeda fighters. A poll conducted by the same organisation in Pakistan in January showed support for al-Qaeda, the Taliban, Mr bin Laden and other radical Islamist groups had dropped by half from the previous August."

Los Angeles Times

April 13, 2008

"Bin Laden's personal approval rating in Pakistan is plummeting. In a poll released in February, Terror Free Tomorrow, a Washington-based nonprofit

group, found that Bin Laden's popularity had fallen by half over just six months, to about 24%. In the Northwest Frontier Province, along the Afghan borderlands where he is most likely to be hiding, it fell into single digits.

These souring attitudes are important because, in the past, hunts for terrorists hiding in Pakistan have almost always ended when a disillusioned (and generally greedy) local resident has dropped a dime on the fugitive for reward money. Now that a larger number of Pakistanis see Bin Laden as a nihilistic killer, the chances that such a walk-in informant will surface have grown. So have the odds that the Pakistani government will act on such information. ... [S]triking at a time when the Al Qaeda leader's local popularity has collapsed reduces the domestic political risks."

COUNCIL ON FOREIGN RELATIONS

A Nonpartisan Resource for Information and Analysis

(4/18/08)

In a special report on Al Qaeda, the Council once again, as in many other reports, relies on TFT surveys:

"Recent events have turned Pakistanis against al-Qaeda and bin Laden. In a <u>poll (PDF)</u> released in February 2008, Terror Free Tomorrow, a Washington-based nonprofit group, found that only 24 percent of Pakistanis had a favorable opinion of bin Laden in 2008 as compared to 46 percent in August 2007. Similarly, al-Qaeda's popularity dropped from 33 percent to 18 percent."

The Washington Post

March 30, 2008

"According to a recent poll by the anti-terrorism organization Terror Free Tomorrow, Pakistani support for the Taliban and al-Qaeda has fallen to all-time lows of 18 and 19 percent, respectively -- half what it was in a similar survey taken last summer."





A radical turnabout in Pakistan

In just five months, public approval of Osama bin Laden has dropped by half.

By Kenneth Ballen and Reza Aslan

February 21, 27, 2008

Washington and Los Angeles--This week's election results in Pakistan give Islamabad's next government the mandate to finally put the terrorists out of business. Violence in Pakistan – mostly driven by Taliban and pro-Al Qaeda forces – has not abated since the December assassination of leading opposition candidate and former Prime Minister Benazir Bhutto. But in a potential hinge moment for what Newsweek recently called "the most dangerous nation in the world," Pakistani public opinion has turned dramatically and decisively against the radicals.

Last August, Terror Free Tomorrow (TFT) conducted a survey across Pakistan showing that from one-third to one-half of Pakistanis had a favorable opinion of Al Qaeda and related radical Islamist groups. Nearly half of respondents had a positive view of Osama bin Laden.

But now, the momentous events of the past several months – President Musharraf's crackdown against the press and opposition figures, mounting terrorist attacks by Al Qaeda and the Taliban, the assassination of Bhutto, and the campaign leading up to Monday's unprecedented election – have resulted in a sea change in Pakistani public opinion.

In a new nationwide survey conducted last month, Pakistani public support for Al Qaeda, the Taliban, bin Laden and other radical Islamist groups has plummeted by half – all the way down to the teens and single digits. The bottom has fallen out for support of the radicals.

If Al Qaeda had appeared on the ballot as a political party in the election, only 1 percent of Pakistanis would have voted for them. The Taliban would have drawn just 3 percent of the vote.

Even in areas near or in their home base, Al Qaeda and the Taliban are losing public support. Favorable opinions of Al Qaeda and the Taliban in the North-

West Frontier Province have sunk to single digits. In August, 70 percent of the population of this region expressed a favorable opinion of bin Laden. Today just 4 percent do.

Indeed, these survey results mirror the stinging defeat of the Islamist parties at the hands of the voters in the North-West Frontier Province. The religious parties were big losers there, winning just nine seats in the provincial assembly, as opposed to 67 in the 2002 elections.

Given the public's dramatic turnaround against Al Qaeda and the Taliban, particularly in their home base, there is a singular opportunity for a Pakistani government with the support of the people to have the legitimacy to mount an effective campaign against the terrorists.

The public's turn against the radicals was accompanied by an equally stunning move toward Pakistan's moderate, secular political parties. In TFT's August survey, only 39 percent backed the principal moderate political parties. In our January pre-election survey, 62 percent said they intended to vote for the moderate political parties in the Feb. 18 elections.

The actual election results now show that about the same percentage, in fact, voted for the moderate political parties.

The fact is, Pakistan includes a mostly young, sophisticated, and upwardly mobile population that aspires to the ideals of democracy and rule of law. If given the opportunity to choose their leaders, there can no longer be any question but they will overwhelmingly elect moderate parties, giving Pakistan a government that finally enjoys the popular legitimacy necessary to mount an effective military campaign against Al Qaeda and the Taliban – a legitimacy that Mr. Musharraf so clearly lacks.

Pakistan can still be an ally to the United States in its struggle against Al Qaeda and the Taliban, but only if democracy is allowed to flourish.

Last Thursday, Musharraf said that the methods of TFT and other polling organizations "have value in developed countries but not here." Perhaps because, as a leading national independent Pakistani newspaper concluded, polling helped make "rigging of the elections somewhat difficult."

As Pakistan's moderate parties now consolidate power, they, too, should heed public opinion and remember that there are two mandates from this election. In addition to the widespread support that has swept the moderates to power, the Pakistani public has just as powerfully rejected extremism in all its forms.

Bhutto gave her life for the belief that a freer, more democratic Pakistan would in and of itself be a better partner to the US in the war on terror – indeed, that the people could be the strongest bulwark against the radicals.

Pakistan, with a new American policy that supports democracy, development, and economic opportunity, can help ensure that her dream did not pass away along with her.

• Reza Aslan is Middle East analyst for CBS News and author of "No god but God: The Origins, Evolution, and Future of Islam." Kenneth Ballen is president of Terror Free Tomorrow: The Center for Public Opinion.



February 15-17, 2008

"In our latest poll a week before Pakistan's election, Pakistani public support for Al Qaeda, the Taliban, Bin Laden and other radical Islamist groups has plummeted by half, from just a few months ago. The last time we conducted a survey, Bin Laden had a 70 percent favorable rating in the North-West Frontier Province. That's now down to just a mere 4 percent—a dramatic drop.

"If Al Qaeda were on the ballot, we found that only 1 percent of Pakistanis would vote for them.

"This sharp loss of public support, together with the attacks on Pakistani authorities, could give Pakistan's next government the mandate to finally put Pakistan's militants out of business

"The meaning of our new poll is unmistakable. Since the people now overwhelmingly reject Al Qaeda and the radicals while embracing the moderate parties, a truly free and fair election in Pakistan would result in a clear victory for the forces of moderation and a defeat for the radicals."

Ken Ballen, TFT President



March 17, 2008

When looking at the future of democratic governance in Pakistan, it is vital that we recognise the role civil society organisations played in our recent elections. Only by understanding the role of NGOs can we create the structures to ensure true democracy takes hold in Pakistan.

Before the February 18 vote, there was much reason to believe that the regime led by General (retd) Pervez Musharraf would attempt to rig the elections, as the government clearly did in the last elections held in 2002.

In an extraordinary admission, a senior high-ranking government official with ties to an agency confirmed to me that, in fact, a plan to rig the elections was in the works.

In this atmosphere, the independent NGO Terror Free Tomorrow, based in the United States, released the first in a series of public opinion polls 10 days before the elections.

Covered throughout the Pakistani and international media, the TFT and subsequent polls showed large victories by both the PPP and PMLN, and a stinging defeat for the King's Party, the PMLQ.

The government reacted harshly. Denounced by government spokesmen and official state television, even President Musharraf responded by claiming that the polling "has value in developed nations but not here in Pakistan".

President Musharraf and the government condemned the polling because it inhibited their ability to massively rig the election results.

Indeed, the same high-ranking government official who acknowledged to me that there was a plan by the government to rig the elections also admitted that "the international polling created an atmosphere where there was no choice but to have free and fair elections."

Confirming the government official's off-the-record comments to me, no less a figure than Senator Mushahid Hussain, General Secretary of the PMLQ, went on the record to say:

"In terms of timing and content, the American polls served as a sort of

the 'power of public opinion' to deter any state-sponsored manipulation. These were widely discussed and disseminated in the media, civil society and political parties, and were generally a fair and accurate reflection of the popular mood."

As leading TV anchor and commentator Anjum Rashid said, "the pressure from international polls definitely prevented the government from massive rigging as they had planned on February 18."

There can be little doubt that, as Farahnaz Ispahani concluded, the polling helped make "rigging of the elections somewhat difficult".

But pre-election polling was not the only important work of civil society.

Pakistan's Free and Fair Election Network's (FAFEN) effort to deter fraud on Election Day was critical. The network's strategy was for 16,000 observers to monitor a random sample of about 8,000 (out of 64,000) polling stations all day, collect detailed information about voting, counting, and compilation of results. Thousands of Pakistani women monitored female polling booths and stations using a tailored manual and reporting format, and wearing specially printed FAFEN headscarves (chadors). An additional 4,000 mobile observers visited as many as 30,000 polling stations, making the 2008 national and provincial assembly elections the most closely watched in Pakistani history.

As security analyst Nasim Zehra concluded, "What prevented major rigging on polling day were the democratic deterrents, which included the political workers, the energy of the voters, the keen media watch and the observers' groups, including FAFEN."

We must celebrate the NGOs and ordinary Pakistani citizens, and protect their courage for the future. These are the kind of groups and activities that are the essential building blocks to a democratic future.

For on February 18, the success of the democratic experiment truly came from the bottom up.



Pakistan 2/12/08

The findings of a US-based organization, Terror Free Tomorrow (TFT), provide an important reality check and show that the widespread talk of rapid 'Talibanization' is largely a result of coercive tactics used by well-armed extremist outfits.

The poll also shows that, amidst a spate of deadly terrorist attacks, support for Al-Qaeda fell from 33 per cent in August 2007 to 18 per cent last month. Similarly, backing for the Taliban fell from 38 to 19 per cent over an identical period. The reinforcement of the belief that most across the country remain bitterly opposed to extremism is reassuring.

At a broader level, the reassertion of the fact that very few have any sympathy for the crazed clerics who run extremist organizations acts as a reminder of the need to rescue people from the excesses and ensure the democratic, moderate forces most Pakistanis back are able to play their rightful role in the national political sphere.



A survey by Terror Free Tomorrow (TFT) was conducted in all four provinces of Pakistan during the second half of the last month. The total sample was made up of 1,157 men and women with a margin of error of plus or minus three percent. The survey has shown that most respondents support the Pakistan People's Party (PPP) and favour the Pakistan Muslim League-Nawaz (PML-N), while the PML(Quaid) also has its support base.

It is heartening to note, that the approval ratings for Osama bin Laden, al Qaeda and the Taliban have registered nosedived phenomenally and stand at only half of what these were a few month ago.

The TFT survey concludes that if al Qaeda were contesting the parliamentary elections, only one percent voters would have nodded the dreaded outfit while its allies Taliban would have garnered a meagre three percent.

The emerging trends in public opinion only go to demonstrate what many political observers have been saying consistently. The elicited sample of public opinion also shows that people are not willing to confuse the political crisis with that of the religious militancy.

The electoral trends, shown by the TFT poll, only accentuate the need for free, fair and transparent elections. Any attempt to tamper with the elections in the wake of a sharply polarised public opinion may not portend well for the democratic and forward-looking future of this country.



February 12; 16 and 17, 2008

A pre-election survey conducted in Pakistan by USbased Terror Free Tomorrow (TFT), whose governing board includes Republican presidential front-runner John McCain and former congressman Lee Hamilton, says 62 percent of the respondents' support the Pakistan People's Party (PPP) and the Pakistan Muslim League-Nawaz (PMLN), while only 12 percent are in favour of the PML-Quaid (PMLQ). Because of the "sympathy wave" 36.7 percent support the PPP and 25.3 percent favour the PMLN. The survey was conducted in Pakistan's four provinces from January 19 to 29 this year and the total sample was 1,157 men and women, with a margin of error of plus or minus three

We don't know if this sample will translate into voters voting in the same percentage because the voting population is different from those who would have an opinion to express. Yet, most surveys keep pretty close to performance on ground when the electoral process begins to unfold.

President Pervez Musharraf expressed his worries Thursday when he warned against the issuance of "electoral surveys." He told a seminar organised by the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting that the methods adopted by surveying companies "have value in developed countries but not here."

The truth is that election surveys are a part of the electoral environment everywhere. Polls are held all over the world where there is freedom of expression. They have been accepted as valid and usually prove uncannily accurate.

In Pakistan these surveys are registering their effect the same way as they do in neighbouring India and Bangladesh. They are based on regional samplings and they tend to express the popular bias even as they serve to strengthen it.



Pakistan 2/13/08

The TFT poll proves that public opinion is fast turning against the so-called heroes and villains of the war on terrorism. If 70 percent want Musharraf to quit and 62 percent accuse the agencies and parties aligned with the president of complicity in Ms Benazir Bhutto's assassination, the support for the Taliban and Al Qaeda has reduced by half. A vote against Musharraf and Taliban -Al Qaeda and overwhelmingly favouring the moderates-democrats is quite stunning in many ways.



Pakistan 2/14/08

The poll by the independent, US-based organization, Terror Free Tomorrow, which shows only one percent of people in Pakistan back Al-Qaeda and only three percent favour the Taliban indicates these forces have every motive to destroy the democratic process, through which they can have no hope of attaining any measure of power.

But the spate of suicide bombings the country has seen recently, taking a terrible toll on lives, also underscores the fact that for any government formed after the polls, the need to tackle it must be among their primary priorities. Despite the lack of any kind of mass appeal, and the reassuring poll findings that

most people bitterly oppose them, the fact is that extremist violence is influencing the texture of society; making Pakistan a more brutalized, more violent society to live in than ever before.



Pakistan 2/18/08

President Musharraf and his officials have started questioning the validity of polling data. According to their mindset, opinion polls might reflect the views of the public in modern societies but Pakistani society is somehow not ready for such scientific measurements of public opinion.

The fact is that opinion polls are an essential part of modern-day democracy. The government's problem is not with the methodology of the opinion polls. It is with their outcome.

Instead of denying the reality of public opinion, the general and his ruling team have another choice. They could bow to public opinion and allow Pakistan to move into the twenty-first century as a democracy.



Is President Musharraf more like Ferdinand Marcos, the Filipino dictator deposed in favour of democracy? Or is he the Shah of Iran, whose fall resulted in a radical, anti-American regime? It is President Musharraf's own view that is most instructive. According to one report, he mentions a third ruler as his model Egypt's President Hosni Mubarak. Mubarak has survived by presenting America with a choice: his own oppressive military rule, or the triumph of the Islamists: the pharaoh or fanatics. He has done his best to guarantee that these are only choices.

President Musharraf seems to be on the same path. Talking about fighting radicalism, his real energy has been devoted to imprisoning and harassing his democratic opponents. As in Egypt, this approach has elevated Islamists.

Polling by the non-profit group, Terror Free Tomorrow, shows broad Pakistani support for democracy, coupled with considerable sympathy for radical groups that oppose the military regime. In the long-run, propping up favourable dictators to fight terrorism causes a backlash.



Pakistan 2/24/08

Remember how Musharraf had shown a great disapproval of the public opinion polls that found the president and his party going down and down in approval ratings? Less than a week before the elections, he had maintained that his supporters would gain a majority. What matters now is the verdict of the people.

Democracy may slow down America's 'war on terror' but a suicide attack every week—and Shaheed Bhutto's assassination—has turned the tide against extremism (according to a survey by Terror Free Tomorrow, in August 2007, 33 percent Pakistanis had a favourable opinion of Al-Qaida and in January 2008 favourable opinion had gone down to 18 percent).

Pakistani voters have done what they could. It's now up to the PPP and the PML-N to reverse our march towards chaos, discord and lawlessness. Asif Zardari and Nawaz Sharif must respect democracy's verdict—or remember her revenge.



February 21, 2008

In public at least, President Pervez Musharraf dismissed the opinion polls, seeing them as no more reliable than the foreign reporters whom he castigated for not leaving the big cities to talk to people in the real Pakistan.

Mr. Musharraf admitted before the election that the party backing him might not win an outright majority; but not that it would be beaten by both the big opposition parties, as it has been. In the end the voters proved him conclusively wrong and by and large vindicated the pollsters.

The Washington Post

February 12, 2008

Reputable polling shows that Pakistanis will vote overwhelmingly against President Pervez Musharraf in parliamentary elections Monday -- but the government plans to rig the balloting to prevent that outcome, at the risk of triggering massive protests and violence.



02-12-17-2008

PAKISTANI PUBLIC OPINION TURNS AGAINST AL-QAIDA AS ELECTION APPROACHES

By Reza Aslan and Kenneth Ballen

WASHINGTON, D.C. -- The violence in Pakistan continues since the assassination of Benazir Bhutto. On Saturday, a suicide bomber blew himself up in the middle of a political rally by the Awami National Party (ANP), Pakistan's secular, ethnic Pashtun opposition group.

Once again, responsibility for the carnage has fallen on the Taliban and pro al-Qaida forces. But if these groups believe their murderous actions are gaining them ground in Pakistan, they are sorely mistaken.

A new nationwide public opinion survey of Pakistan reveals a dramatic drop in public support for al-Qaida, the Taliban, Osama bin Laden and other radical Islamist groups in the country.

In August 2007, Terror Free Tomorrow conducted a massive survey of Pakistan showing that anywhere from a third to one-half of Pakistanis had a favorable opinion of al-Qaida and similar radical Islamist groups. When the survey was repeated in January, that support had plunged to the teens.

Even in their home base, al-Qaida and the Taliban are losing public opinion. Inside the North-West Frontier Province, in or near where bin Laden is believed to be hiding, favorable opinions of al-Qaida and the Taliban have dropped to single digits. Whereas, in the August survey, 70 percent of the population of this region expressed a favorable opinion of bin Laden, today that number stands at an incredible 4 percent.

In an equally dramatic turnaround, nearly two-thirds of Pakistanis say they intend to vote for the moderate political parties in the upcoming Feb. 18 elections. In TFT's previous survey, less than 40 percent said they would vote for the leading moderate political parties, the Pakistan People's Party (PPP)

and the Pakistan Muslim League (PML-N) led by former Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif. Now these two parties share the support of some 62 percent of Pakistanis.

These numbers are no doubt affected by the murder of Benazir Bhutto, who was the most popular politician in the country. But there can no longer be any doubt that a truly free and fair election in Pakistan would result in a clear victory for the forces of moderation over the radicals and extremists.

Of course, such an election would also likely mean the end of President Pervez Musharraf's long, dictatorial rule. Pakistanis may have turned away from the radical parties, but they have equally rejected Musharraf. A stunning 70 percent of Pakistanis want Musharraf to resign immediately as president, and 58 percent believe he or his allies are responsible for Bhutto's death.

With the widespread support that the Pakistan People's Party (PPP) and the Pakistan Muslim League seem to share, they could very well manage the two-thirds majority in Parliament necessary to remove Musharraf from office.

Certainly, Musharraf will not relinquish power so easily. He still enjoys the overwhelming support of the United States, which has provided him with billions of dollars in return for his help in the war on terror. But these numbers show that a freely elected democratic government would better serve the national security interest not only of Pakistan but also of the United States and indeed the world.

If given the opportunity to choose their leaders, there is no question that Pakistanis now would overwhelmingly elect moderate parties, giving Pakistan a government that would finally enjoy the popular legitimacy necessary to mount an effective military offensive against al-Qaida and the Taliban.

Reza Aslan is a Middle East analyst for CBS News and author of "No god but God: The Origins, Evolution and Future of Islam." Kenneth Ballen is president of Terror Free Tomorrow: The Center for Public Opinion.

MIDDLE EAST TIMES.

February 14, 2008

The TFT poll shows that discontent with Musharraf has not translated into support for extremism, quite the opposite in fact. There has been an upsurge in suicide bombings in Pakistan recently, and perhaps nothing causes a reassessment of terrorist groups as effectively as being a victim of their terrorism.

In any case, if the Taliban were on next week's ballot they would draw 3 percent of the vote, while al-Qaida would manage only 1 percent. Since last August, those with a favorable view of Bin Laden have dropped from 46 percent to 24 percent, while approval of radical Islamist groups in general has fallen from almost 50 percent to less than 25 percent. Most striking, support in the North-West Frontier Province where al-Qaida and the Taliban have their bases has dropped into single figures, with Bin Laden having fallen from 70 percent favorable to 4 percent since August.

The beneficiaries in all this are the two main moderate political parties, the Pakistan People's Party of assassinated Benazir Bhutto, and the Pakistan Muslim League of former Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif. Sixty-two percent say they will now vote for one of these two parties compared with only 39 percent back in August, according to the TFT poll.

Musharraf has presented himself to the United States as the last bastion against extremism. These figures give the lie to that contention. Pakistanis will not turn from a Musharraf government to some form of jihadism. In light of that, the U.S. government should ask itself whether Musharraf is still the horse to back in Pakistan, given his high negatives and the fact that only 9 percent of Pakistanis want to cooperate with the United States in the war on terror.



February 15, 2008

The second-most important election of the year for Americans is scheduled to occur next Monday in Pakistan, determining whether that nuclear-armed and terrorist-infested nation moves toward democracy or chaos....

According to a poll by the independent group Terror Free Tomorrow, 58 percent of Pakistanis believe that Musharraf's government was responsible for Benazir Bhutto's assassination. In the TFT poll, with a sample of 1,157, 70 percent of the respondents said they wanted Musharraf to resign immediately.

Most significantly, TFT found that 62 percent of voters said they would support Bhutto's liberal PPP or the other main democratic opposition party, the PML-N headed by conservative former Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif, and only 12 percent Musharraf's PML-Q.

Perhaps the most significant poll findings are that the democratic opposition parties are on the cusp of winning two-thirds of the vote. If they controlled two-thirds of the seats in parliament, they could oust Musharraf and change the constitution to deprive any president of the power to depose an elected government.

If PML-Q is declared the winner, or if the opposition falls significantly short of a majority in parliament, there are likely to be huge street demonstrations. They'd likely start out peaceful, but could turn violent.

The stakes in this election could not be higher. As Bhutto writes in her posthumously published new book, "Reconciliation," Pakistan is "ground-zero" in the battle within Islam between reformers and jihadists and between those who want to provoke a "clash of civilizations" with the West and those who want to prevent it.

Bush has delivered great speeches about fostering democracy in the Islamic world. Now, he has to deliver.